

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/945,171	08/30/2001	Howard J. Smith	476-2051	3257		
. 7590 03/07/2006  Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			FILE, E	FILE, ERIN M		
P.O. Box 2786 Chicago, IL 6	0690-2786		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Cincago, IL o	2700		2634			
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				AV
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
		09/945,171	SMITH ET AL.	
Office Act	ion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Erin M. File	2634	
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATE WHICHEVER IS LON  - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If NO period for reply is spec  - Failure to reply within the set	GER, FROM THE MAILING D vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 the mailing date of this communication. ified above, the maximum statutory period to rextended period for reply will, by statutifice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON 19 date of this communication, even if timely file	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this commur ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to o	communication(s) filed on 12/2	20/2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FI	· · ·	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this applic	cation is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4		rits is
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/al	<u>d 11-14</u> is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement draw	request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct	er.  a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b; objected or b	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119			
a) All b) Son  1. Certified of  2. Certified of  3. Copies of  application	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority document copies of the priority document the certified copies of the prior n from the International Burea	ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv	tion No ved in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cite	d (PTO 802)	4) ☐ Interview Summan	v (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's 🧗	d (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D		)

Application/Control Number: 09/945,171

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/20/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant contends that a declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 will be filed in regards to the Wessel reference, however, this affidavit has not been received and therefore the applicants traversal is not persuasive.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wessel and in further view of Olive.
- Claims 1, 7, 11, 13, and 14, Wessel et al shows and describes a feedback loop system that detects' phase and gain errors for better predistortion adaptation (column 10, Lines 5-16). Wessel fails to disclose switch means which alternately couples a first and

Application/Control Number: 09/945,171

Art Unit: 2634

second detector means to the input and output of said amplifier, however, Olive discloses a structure in which two detectors (fig. 1, 15, 17) are selectively chosen by a switch (16) for input to a differential amplifier (18). Olive further discloses the use of modulators (20) and a rectifier (21) in order to correct for errors. The use of selection in an input signal allows the amplifier to choose which signal is stronger and more prepared for amplification and would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Olive's selective detecting means into Wessel's invention.

Claim 2, Wessel describes the use of a difference signal (618) in the feedback loop.

Claim 4, Wessel describes the use of a DSP for error detection in the loop (col. 7, lines 59-65).

Claim 5, the applicant admits that the use a hybrid circuit for phase correction or adjustment is prior art (p. 8, lines 15-19).

Claims 6, 8, and 12, Wessel describes the use averaging the error along the closed loop (col. 11, line 49 – col. 12, line 35).

Claim 9, Wessel describes the use of adaptive predistortion by using the results from a comparator (col. 7, lines 34-65).

Application/Control Number: 09/945,171 Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wessel

and Olive and in further view of Sahlman.

Claim 3, Sahlman discloses a DC offset applied to the output of a detector (paragraph

[0050]) The use of a direct current offset to compensate for errors in a signal is well

known in the art and would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to

incorporate this offset into the combined teachings of Wessel and Olive.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1, 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation

"said error values" (line 7 of Claim 1, line 9 of Claim 7) is improper because it refers to

plural values, when the antecedent basis provides for a single value (line 5 of Claim 1,

line 7 of Claim 7). Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571)272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,171 Page 6

Art Unit: 2634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin M. File

EMF

2/27/2006

3-1-06